

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,957	02/09/2001	Peter Jon Clayton	01P7460US	6936
7	590 12/04/2002			
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			EXAMINER	
			LE, DANG D	
iseini, NJ 0003	30		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Office Action Summary Og/780,957 CLAYTON ET AL. The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A CHORTENED OF ACTION OF THE CONTROL OF THE					
Office Action Summary Examiner Dang D Le The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
Dang D Le 2834 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
Teriod for Reply					
A CHORTENED OTATION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CON					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.3-5,7,8 and 10-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
'8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 8. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/780,957

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ragaly.
 Regarding claim 1, Ragaly shows a power generator system comprising:
 - A power generator (Figure 1);
 - An exciter (26) for excitation of said power generator, the exciter including a
 diode wheel, the diode wheel having a rotating support structure (shaft 13 and

Application/Control Number: 09/780,957 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

spacer 34), a plurality of diodes (36) mounted to the structure (at 33), and a plurality of a diode support and rupture containment devices (37) each positioned adjacent a respective one of the plurality of diodes to support the diode and contain the diode within the confines thereof in the event the diode ruptures, the diode support and rupture containment device including a pair of spaced-apart insulative containment members (left 37 and right 37) arranged to have a diode (36) positioned therebetween.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/780,957

Art Unit: 2834

7. Claims 3-5, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ragaly in view of Pollard.

Regarding claim 3, Ragaly shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the diode wheel including a plurality of metal electric connection regions each having one of the diodes connected thereto, wherein each of the plurality of diodes includes a casing formed of an insulating material, and wherein at least one of the pair of containment members is positioned adjacent the metal-electric connection region having the diode connected thereto and extends to the casing of the diode.

Pollard shows the diode wheel including a plurality of metal electric connection regions (surfaces contacting 56 and 68) each having one of the diodes (42-52) connected thereto, wherein each of the plurality of diodes includes a casing (130, 132, Figure 6) formed of an insulating material (ceramic) for the purpose of connecting the diodes to the positive and negative terminals.

Since Ragaly and Pollard are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the diode wheel with a plurality of metal electric connection regions each having one of the diodes connected thereto, wherein each of the plurality of diodes includes a casing formed of an insulating material, and wherein at least one of the pair of containment members is positioned adjacent the metal-electric connection region having the diode connected thereto and extends to the casing of the diode as taught by Pollard for the purpose discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,957

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Ragaly also shows each of the pair of containment members (37) having a substantially annular shape to thereby define an insulative disc, and wherein each of the insulative discs being connected to the diode wheel for ease of access to the diode.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that this claim is a combinations of claims 1 and 3. Therefore, it is also rejected.

Regarding claim 7, the claim is similar to claim 4. Consequently, it is also rejected.

Regarding claim 8, it is noted that this claim is a combinations of claims 1 and 3. As a result, it is rejected.

Claim 10 is similar to claim 4 which is rejected.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL December 1, 2002

1

Luy 2. 6